**Academic Year: 2015-16**

**Semester - II**

**Weekly Planning Sheet**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Week**  **No.** | **Activity Planned** | **Activity Completed Status** | **Student**  **Signature** | **Guide**  **Signature** |
| **Week 1** |  |  |  |  |
| **Week 2** |  |  |  |
| **Week 3** |  |  |  |
| **Week 4** |  |  |  |
| **Week 5** |  |  |  |  |
| **Week 6** |  |  |  |
| **Week 7** |  |  |  |
| **Week 8** |  |  |  |
| **Week 9** |  |  |  |  |
| **Week 10** |  |  |  |
| **Week 11** |  |  |  |
| **Week 12** |  |  |  |

Project Coordinator Internal Guide

Savitribai Phule Pune University Faculty of Information Technology

Nashik District Maratha Vidya Prasarak Samaj’s

Karmaveer Adv. Baburao Ganpatrao Thakare, College of Engineering, Nashik

Department of Information Technology

**PROJECT REVIEW - III**

**(Academic Year: 2016-17)**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Group Id :** | |  |  |  | **Date :** |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Project Title :** | |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Sr. No.** |  | **Roll No** | **Student Name** | **Contact Details** | **Internal / External Guide Details** |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  | Guide Name : |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  | Mentor Name, email & Mobile No. : |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **REVIEW – III : IMPLEMENTATION** | | |  | **25 Marks** |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | | | | |  |  |  |  |
|  | **IMPLEMENTATION (SOURCE CODE REVIEW CHECKLIST)** | | | |  |  |  |  |
|  | **a.** | **Structure** | | |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1. | Does the code completely and correctly implement the design? | | Y / N / NA / NC\* | |  |  |
|  |  | 2. | Does the code comply with the Coding Standards? | | Y / N / NA / NC\* | |  |  |
|  |  | 3. | Is the code well-structured, consistent in style, and consistently formatted? | | Y / N / NA / NC\* | |  |  |
|  |  | 4. | Does the implementation match the design? | | Y / N / NA / NC\* | |  |  |
|  |  | 5. | Are all functions in the design coded? | | Y / N / NA / NC\* | |  |  |
|  | **b.** | **Documentation** | | |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1. | Is the code clearly and adequately documented with an easy-to-maintain commenting | | Y / N / NA / NC\* | |  |  |
|  |  |  | style? | |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2. | Are all comments consistent with the code? | | Y / N / NA / NC\* | |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Savitribai Phule Pune University | | | | Faculty of Information Technology | | | |  |
|  |  | **STUDENT PERFORMANCE EVALUATION** | | |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | **Students’ Contribution and Performance** | | |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | **Marks (25M)** | |  |  |  |
|  |  | **Particulars** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | **Group Members** | |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1. | Architecture / System Design - (if any |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | modification) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2. | Summarize the methodologies / Algorithms |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | implemented / to be implemented |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3. | Partial Results obtained and Summarize the final |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | findings of the Project |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4. | 70 % Implementation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5. | Contribution of the student |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6. | Question and Answer |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7. | Presentation skills |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | **Total (25M)** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | **Comments (if any)** | | |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# To be filled by internal guide & reviewer(s) only.

\* Whether the presentation / evaluation is as per the schedule. : YES / NO (If NO mention the reasons for the same.)

**Review – III: Deliverables**

* Detailed Design (if any deviation)
* 50% of code implementation
* Some Experimental Results
* Project Plan 3.0

Name & Signature of evaluation committee -

Name of Reviewer 1 Name of Reviewer 2 Name of Internal Guide

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Savitribai Phule Pune University | | | | |  |  | Faculty of Information Technology | |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | |  | |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | | | | | |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | **PROJECT REVIEW – IV**  **(Academic Year: 2016-17)** | | | |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | **Group Id :** | |  |  |  | |  | **Date :** |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | **Project Title :** | |  |  |  | |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | **Sr. No.** |  | **Roll No** | **Student Name** |  | | **Contact Details** | **Internal / External Guide Details** |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | |  |  |  |  | |  | Guide Name : |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | |  |  |  |  | |  | Mentor Name, email & Mobile No. : |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | |  |  |  |  | |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | |  |  |  |  | |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | | |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | | | |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | **REVIEW – IV : (25 Marks)** | | | |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | |  |  |  | |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | **TESTING** | |  |  |  | |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | |  |  |  |  |

Code implementation Status.

Result Analyses and Conclusion

Is Every Features to be Tested?

Are all functions, user screens, and navigation to be tested? (e.g. Module, Object, integration, usability, system)?

Test Cases (Manual and Automated)

Testing Tools Used

Overall Completion Status

Final Thesis Status.

**FILL IN BRIEF**

Expected results are known or not? :

Completion of Code status (%) :

Quality of Presentation :

Partial / Project Report Status :

(List the chapters completed as per

format given)

Project Completion Date :

Partial / Final Report Submission Date :

**General**

Is the LOG BOOK of project up-to-date and signed?

* NC – Not Clear
* NA – Not Applicable

Savitribai Phule Pune University Faculty of Information Technology

Nashik District Maratha Vidya Prasarak Samaj’s

Karmaveer Adv. Baburao Ganpatrao Thakare, College of Engineering, Nashik

Department of Information Technology

**STUDENT PERFORMANCE EVALUATION**

**Students’ Contribution and Performance**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  | **Marks (25M)** | |  |  |  |
|  |  | **Particulars** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | **Group Members** | |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | | Summarize the methodologies / Algorithms |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | implemented |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. | | Results obtained and Performance Evaluation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. | | Summarize the ultimate findings of the Project |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. | | Implementation (100%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. | | Testing (Manual / Automated) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. | | Final Project Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. | | Publications |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. | | Contribution of the Student |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. | | Question and Answer |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. | | Presentation skills |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | **Total (25M)** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**Comments (if any)**

# To be filled by internal guide & reviewer(s) only.

\* Whether the presentation / evaluation is as per the schedule. : YES / NO (If NO mention the reasons for the same.)

**Review – IV : Deliverables**

* Detailed Design
* 100% of code implementation
* Experimental Results
* Performance Evaluation
* Test Cases
* Result Analyses and Conclusion
* Final Thesis
* Project Plan 4.0

Name & Signature of evaluation committee -

Name of Reviewer 1 Name of Reviewer 2 Name of Internal Guide

Nashik District Maratha Vidya Prasarak Samaj’s

Karmaveer Adv. Baburao Ganpatrao Thakare, College of Engineering, Nashik

Department of Information Technology

**PROJECT REVIEW –I to IV**

**(Academic Year : 2016-17)**

**Summary of Project Work Evaluation Sheet**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Sr.**  **No.** | **Roll No. /**  **Exam. No.** | **Name of the Student** | **I** | **II** | **III** | **IV** | **Total** | **Student**  **Signature** |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | | | | | | | | |
| **Overall Remarks or Comments (if any)** | | | | | | | | |

Prof. J. R. Suryawanshi

Name of Reviewer 1 Name of Reviewer 2 Internal Guide